



VARZA SCHOOL · THE FOUNDATIONAL 100

Contracts, Partners, and Performance Pay: Contractors, Agents, Resellers, Royalties, and Commissions

Chapter 80 · Track 8 — Project, Service, Labor, and Contract Finance

THE QUESTION IT ANSWERS

How can variable and third-party compensation reward real value without creating ambiguous worker status, duplicate claims, manipulation, or uncontrolled long-term obligations?

CONCEPTS DEFINED

Independent contractor · Sales agent · Reseller · Royalty · Commission · Performance-based pay · Contract · Accountability

Edition 2026.1 · Reviewed through 2026-08-31

Varza · varzaone.com · varza1.com

© 2026 Original Varza educational content. All rights reserved.

Varza promise: clear enough for a first-time learner, exact enough for a professional conversation, and practical enough to support a real decision.

Why this chapter matters

This track treats projects, services, labor, and contracts as time-based financial systems. Scope, schedule, cost, billing, cash, obligations, and performance must be integrated; otherwise a project can appear successful in one view while failing in another.

Central question: How can variable and third-party compensation reward real value without creating ambiguous worker status, duplicate claims, manipulation, or uncontrolled long-term obligations?

Each relationship must define legal role, authority, deliverable, customer or intellectual-property rights, economic base, rate, earning event, evidence, tax, currency, settlement, adjustment, audit, and termination. Names such as contractor or partner do not determine substance.

Varza approaches the subject from life to ledger and from ledger to decision. We begin with what actually happened, identify the rights, obligations, resources, timing, and uncertainty, and only then choose the correct term, calculation, document, or account. This order protects the learner from a common error: learning a label without understanding the economic reality that the label is supposed to describe.

Learning outcomes

- Define and distinguish independent contractor in plain and professional language.
- Define and distinguish sales agent in plain and professional language.
- Define and distinguish reseller in plain and professional language.
- Define and distinguish royalty in plain and professional language.
- Apply the concepts to a numerical case and explain the result rather than only calculate it.
- Identify evidence, workflow, controls, and Varza entities required for reliable use.
- Recognize when jurisdiction-specific or licensed professional advice is required.

The Varza mental model

A financial concept becomes decision-ready when six questions have answers: **what, who, when, how much, based on what evidence**, and **under which assumption or rule**. A seventh question—**what should happen next**—turns knowledge into management.

This chapter uses internationally recognized terminology, but it remains jurisdiction-neutral unless explicitly stated. Accounting standards, tax rules, contract law, consumer protection, labor requirements, and investment regulation can produce different legal outcomes in different countries. The global foundation gives the learner a stable map; local collections must add the binding rules.

Core concepts

1. Independent contractor

Working definition. Independent contractor is a non-employee party engaged to provide defined work or results under a contract, subject to legal classification rules.

Contract wording alone does not determine worker status. Control, integration, economic dependence, and local law may reclassify the relationship. A non-specialist can understand this by separating the object, the timing, and the consequence.

Simple example. A specialist company delivers a defined security assessment using its own staff and methods.

Decision test. Who can influence the result, and who only reports it? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

2. Sales agent

Working definition. Sales agent is a person or organization authorized to solicit, negotiate, or arrange sales on behalf of another party under defined authority.

Clarify whose contract it is, who controls price and customer, who bears credit risk, and how compensation is earned. The concept should be measured at the smallest level that still supports a real action.

Simple example. An independent agent earns 5% on collected sales in an assigned territory.

Decision test. Does the item affect cash now, later, or not at all? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

3. Reseller

Working definition. Reseller is a party that acquires or is authorized to market an offer and resells it to end customers, often setting its own customer relationship within agreed rules.

Distinguish reseller purchase, agency, referral, marketplace, and consignment models because revenue, tax, inventory, support, and risk differ. The number alone is not enough; its definition determines whether comparisons are valid.

Simple example. A software reseller buys discounted licenses and invoices local customers in its own name.

Decision test. What is being measured, and what has been left outside the boundary? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

4. Royalty

Working definition. Royalty is consideration paid for the right to use intellectual property, natural resources, brand, content, technology, or another protected asset.

Define the base, rate, territory, period, audit right, minimum, currency, tax, and reporting evidence. This is where operational activity and financial reporting meet.

Simple example. A licensee pays 3% of net sales for use of a trademark.

Decision test. When did the underlying right, obligation, benefit, or sacrifice arise? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

5. Commission

Working definition. Commission is performance-linked compensation calculated according to specified commercial outcomes, rates, bases, thresholds, and adjustment rules.

Define whether it is earned on booking, delivery, invoicing, collection, margin, or retention and how returns, tax, currency, and clawbacks are treated. In Varza language, the concept becomes useful when it changes a decision.

Simple example. A salesperson earns 4% of gross margin on invoices collected within ninety days.

Decision test. Which document or observation would allow another person to verify it? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

6. Performance-based pay

Working definition. Performance-based pay is compensation contingent on achieving specified results, measures, thresholds, or events.

Measures should be controllable, balanced, difficult to manipulate, and adjusted for quality, risk, returns, and long-term effect. The professional term matters because it prevents two different realities from being recorded as though they were the same.

Simple example. A manager bonus depends on cash-adjusted operating result and customer-quality targets.

Decision test. How would a different assumption change the result? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

7. Contract

Working definition. Contract is an enforceable agreement that creates rights and obligations between parties, subject to applicable law.

Operational and accounting systems should preserve the signed terms, amendments, performance evidence, consideration, dates, and responsible parties. A non-specialist can understand this by separating the object, the timing, and the consequence.

Simple example. A service contract defines scope, milestones, acceptance, price, payment terms, liability, and termination.

Decision test. Who can influence the result, and who only reports it? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

8. Accountability

Working definition. Accountability is the obligation to explain and accept responsibility for decisions, actions, controls, and results.

Responsibility without information is unfair; authority without accountability is dangerous. Varza should connect each material state change to an identifiable actor. The concept should be measured at the smallest level that still supports a real action.

Simple example. The project manager owns the latest cost forecast and must explain material changes.

Decision test. Does the item affect cash now, later, or not at all? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

How the concepts connect

The concepts in this chapter are a system, not a vocabulary list. Independent contractor, Sales agent, Reseller, Royalty, Commission, Performance-based pay, Contract, and Accountability describe different parts of the same decision. Confusing them usually creates one of three failures: the wrong amount is measured, the right amount is assigned to the wrong period or person, or a correct report is used for a decision it was never designed to support.

A useful analysis therefore preserves both **classification** and **relationship**. Classification tells us what an item is. Relationship tells us what caused it, what it affects, and what could change it. Varza should store both. A report that shows only totals may be suitable for orientation; a controlled decision requires traceability to the underlying relationships.

Formulas and quantitative relationships

Royalty

Royalty due = Defined eligible base × Contract rate, adjusted for exclusions, thresholds, minimums, returns, currency, and tax

The formula follows the contract's economic definition.

Boundary: Eligible base and deductions require precise contractual interpretation and audit evidence.

Margin-based commission

Commission = Eligible collected contribution or gross margin $\times$ Rate

This aligns pay more closely with value captured than gross bookings.

Boundary: Define controllable costs, collection timing, credits, shared deals, and clawbacks.

Worked case — A commission plan rewards the wrong sale

A salesperson closes a CU 500,000 contract at 20% gross margin. The old plan pays 5% of revenue at signing. The customer later receives CU 50,000 credit and pays six months late.

Item	Amount or observation
Old commission at signing	$5\% \times 500,000 = \text{CU } 25,000$
Initial gross margin	CU 100,000
Customer credit	CU 50,000 revenue reduction; cost effect separately assessed
Collection	six months late
Risk	commission paid before value was realized

Calculation and reasoning

1. The old plan pays one-quarter of initial gross margin before delivery, credit, or cash outcome.
2. Recalculate contract economics after the CU 50,000 credit and identify whether margin remains.
3. A revised plan may earn in stages on approved contract, delivery, collected revenue, or collected margin with a transparent clawback policy.
4. Do not change earned rights retroactively; apply governed terms prospectively and communicate them clearly.

What the result means

Incentive design is a financial-control system. It should reward the outcome the organization truly values and remain understandable enough that participants can predict fair treatment.

The case is deliberately compact. In practice, Varza would preserve the supporting documents, currency, dates, counterparty, project or cost center, approvals, and links to the resulting records. The lesson is not merely to reach a number; it is to make the path to that number reviewable.

Practical workflow

1. **Define the decision, responsible person, economic unit, period, and acceptable uncertainty.**
Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
2. **Classify the relationship under contract facts and local law.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
3. **Define rights, deliverables, authority, customer ownership, intellectual property, risk, and evidence.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
4. **Specify compensation base, rate, thresholds, timing, currency, return, clawback, tax, and audit rights.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
5. **Accrue, approve, settle, reconcile, and close obligations with contract changes preserved.**
Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
6. **Reconcile the result to source evidence and investigate exceptions rather than forcing agreement.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
7. **Approve the decision or record at the authority level required by value, risk, and legal consequence.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
8. **Monitor the outcome, compare it with the original assumption, and retain the learning for the next cycle.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.

How this concept should appear in Varza

The minimum Varza data model for this chapter includes counterparty, relationship_type, contract, deliverable, eligible_base, rate, earning_event, accrual, statement, clawback, payment, dispute. These names are not merely interface labels. Each entity should have a stable identifier, status history, responsible owner, applicable currency or unit, effective dates, evidence links, and access controls.

A useful Varza screen should answer four questions without forcing the user to reconstruct the story manually:

1. **What happened or is expected to happen?** Show the operational event, obligation, or decision in plain language.
2. **What changed financially?** Show cash, asset, liability, equity, income, expense, commitment, or risk effects.

3. **Why should the user trust it?** Show source documents, calculations, approvals, and revision history.
4. **What requires attention now?** Show exceptions, due dates, thresholds, and the next responsible action.

The Varza design principles most relevant here are:

- Attach every important number to a source, period, currency, entity, and responsible person.
- Prefer a traceable estimate with stated assumptions to an impressive number with hidden assumptions.
- Use automation to strengthen evidence and consistency, never to remove accountability.

Varza AI may explain, classify, compare, simulate, and prepare a proposed action. It should not silently change a legal record, post a material transaction, close a period, release a payment, or make an investment decision on the user's behalf. Material actions require visible evidence, permissions, validation rules, and an accountable human approval path.

Controls and professional boundaries

1. **Contract approval by legal, finance, tax, and responsible business owner as risk requires.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
2. **Independent calculation from source transactions.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
3. **Related-party and conflict disclosure.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
4. **Post-termination and tail-commission rules monitored.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
5. **Stable definitions, versioned assumptions, and consistent units across plans, records, and reports.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
6. **Traceability from every material total to evidence, calculation, owner, and change history.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
7. **Access and approval rights aligned with responsibility and the possible consequence of error or misuse.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.

A control is effective only when it operates in practice. A policy that everyone can bypass is a statement of hope, not a control. Likewise, a control that blocks ordinary work without distinguishing

low-risk and high-risk cases encourages hidden workarounds.

Common mistakes and better responses

Mistake 1: Calling an employee a contractor solely to avoid obligations

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 2: Paying royalty on gross cash received when the contract defines net sales

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 3: Using revenue commission that rewards unprofitable or uncollected deals

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 4: Changing a plan after performance is achieved without governed terms

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 5: Using a technically correct number outside the period, entity, currency, or decision boundary for which it was calculated

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 6: Accepting an automated result without reviewing the evidence, assumptions, exceptions, and required professional judgment

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Decision checklist

- ☐ Can I explain independent contractor in plain language and in professional terms?

- ☐ Can I explain sales agent in plain language and in professional terms?
- ☐ Can I explain reseller in plain language and in professional terms?
- ☐ Can I explain royalty in plain language and in professional terms?
- ☐ Have I stated the entity, currency or unit, date or period, and decision boundary?
- ☐ Can another person reproduce the number from retained evidence?
- ☐ Have I separated actuals, estimates, commitments, and scenarios?
- ☐ Have I tested timing, liquidity, risk, and at least one adverse outcome?
- ☐ Is the required approval proportional to the possible loss or legal consequence?
- ☐ Does the final output lead to a specific action, owner, and review date?

Practice lab

1. **Explain it.** Describe Independent contractor to a person who has never studied finance. Then add the professional definition and identify one boundary your simple explanation omitted.
2. **Classify it.** Create five examples from your household or a small business and classify each using Sales agent. State any assumptions.
3. **Calculate it.** Rebuild the worked case with amounts 20% higher. Identify which conclusions change and which remain structurally true.
4. **Challenge it.** Describe a situation in which Reseller could be measured correctly but still lead to a poor decision because timing, risk, or incentives were ignored.
5. **Design it.** Sketch a Varza screen or report for this chapter. Include fields, evidence links, status, owner, exceptions, and one AI-assisted action.

Answer guidance

A strong answer uses consistent definitions, reveals assumptions, distinguishes fact from estimate, and connects the result to an action. More than one conclusion may be reasonable when the learner clearly states the decision objective and uncertainty. The worked-case recalculation should preserve the method even when the numbers change.

Varza AI knowledge capsule

This capsule is intentionally explicit so that a retrieval system can distinguish canonical teaching points from examples and commentary.

```
{
  "chapter_id": "VARZA-F100-080",
  "canonical_topics": [
    "Independent contractor",
    "Sales agent",
    "Reseller",
    "Royalty",
    "Commission",
```

```

    "Performance-based pay",
    "Contract",
    "Accountability"
  ],
  "facts": [
    "Independent contractor: a non-employee party engaged to provide defined work or results under a contract, subject to legal classification rules.",
    "Sales agent: a person or organization authorized to solicit, negotiate, or arrange sales on behalf of another party under defined authority.",
    "Reseller: a party that acquires or is authorized to market an offer and resells it to end customers, often setting its own customer relationship within agreed rules.",
    "Royalty: consideration paid for the right to use intellectual property, natural resources, brand, content, technology, or another protected asset.",
    "Commission: performance-linked compensation calculated according to specified commercial outcomes, rates, bases, thresholds, and adjustment rules.",
    "Performance-based pay: compensation contingent on achieving specified results, measures, thresholds, or events.",
    "Contract: an enforceable agreement that creates rights and obligations between parties, subject to applicable law.",
    "Accountability: the obligation to explain and accept responsibility for decisions, actions, controls, and results."
  ],
  "formula_names": [
    "Royalty",
    "Margin-based commission"
  ],
  "jurisdiction_scope": "global-foundation",
  "required_answer_qualifiers": [
    "entity",
    "date_or_period",
    "currency_or_unit",
    "source_or_assumption",
    "jurisdiction_when_relevant"
  ],
  "human_approval_required_for": [
    "posting_material_transactions",
    "closing_periods",
    "changing_master_data",
    "releasing_payments",
    "submitting_filings",
    "individual_investment_execution"
  ],
  "source_ids": [
    "S114",
    "S106",
    "S201",
    "S203"
  ],
  "edition": "2026.1",
  "review_date": "2026-08-31"
}

```

Suggested questions for Varza AI

- Explain this chapter using an example from a household, a shop, and a service business.
- Ask me five diagnostic questions before applying this concept to my situation.
- Show the difference between cash effect, accounting effect, and decision effect.

- Recalculate the worked case using my currency and assumptions, but preserve the original definitions.
- Identify which parts require local accounting, tax, legal, labor, or investment rules.

Sources and standards horizon

This chapter is original Varza educational content. It paraphrases and synthesizes concepts; it does not reproduce a standard or third-party article. The following sources were used to establish terminology, scope, and current professional context:

- **S114 — IFRS Foundation, *IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers*.** 2026 standards set. Principles for recognizing revenue from customer contracts. Source: <https://www.ifrs.org/issued-standards/list-of-standards/ifrs-15-revenue-from-contracts-with-customers/>
- **S106 — IFRS Foundation, *IAS 19 Employee Benefits*.** 2026 standards set. Recognition of employee-benefit obligations and expense. Source: <https://www.ifrs.org/issued-standards/list-of-standards/ias-19-employee-benefits/>
- **S201 — International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, *2025 Handbook of the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*.** 2025-10-07. Integrity, objectivity, competence, confidentiality and professional behavior. Source: <https://www.ethicsboard.org/publications/2025-handbook-international-code-ethics-professional-accountants>
- **S203 — Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*.** 2013 framework; accessed 2026-08-31. Control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and monitoring. Source: <https://www.coso.org/internal-control>

Standards horizon. Reviewed through 2026-08-31. IFRS 18 and the third edition of the IFRS for SMEs Accounting Standard are included as transition knowledge where relevant; both have mandatory effective dates beginning in 2027, with early application permitted under their respective requirements.

Jurisdiction note. Worker status, agency, commission, royalty, withholding, employment, competition, consumer, and tax rules are jurisdiction-specific. Legal labels in a contract may not control the legal classification.

Professional-use note. This learning material does not replace advice from a qualified accountant, lawyer, tax adviser, licensed investment professional, or other regulated specialist who has reviewed the user's facts and jurisdiction.