



VARZA SCHOOL · THE FOUNDATIONAL 100

Rules around the Business: Contracts, Licenses, Taxes, Records, and Compliance

Chapter 19 · Track 2 — Starting and Understanding a Business

THE QUESTION IT ANSWERS

How can a founder understand the rule environment without becoming a lawyer or assuming that registration completes compliance?

CONCEPTS DEFINED

Contract · License and permit · Tax obligation · Record retention · Compliance · Legal entity · Policy · Accountability

Edition 2026.1 · Reviewed through 2026-08-31

Varza · varzaone.com · varza1.com

© 2026 Original Varza educational content. All rights reserved.

Varza promise: clear enough for a first-time learner, exact enough for a professional conversation, and practical enough to support a real decision.

Why this chapter matters

This track moves from personal decisions to an operating business. A business is examined as a repeatable system that creates value, coordinates people and resources, accepts risk, collects evidence, and converts activity into cash and durable capability.

Central question: How can a founder understand the rule environment without becoming a lawyer or assuming that registration completes compliance?

Every business operates inside a changing network of legal, tax, licensing, employment, privacy, consumer, financial, and contractual obligations. A reliable system identifies which rule applies, who owns it, when action is due, what evidence proves completion, and how change is monitored.

Varza approaches the subject from life to ledger and from ledger to decision. We begin with what actually happened, identify the rights, obligations, resources, timing, and uncertainty, and only then choose the correct term, calculation, document, or account. This order protects the learner from a common error: learning a label without understanding the economic reality that the label is supposed to describe.

Learning outcomes

- Define and distinguish contract in plain and professional language.
- Define and distinguish license and permit in plain and professional language.
- Define and distinguish tax obligation in plain and professional language.
- Define and distinguish record retention in plain and professional language.
- Apply the concepts to a numerical case and explain the result rather than only calculate it.
- Identify evidence, workflow, controls, and Varza entities required for reliable use.
- Recognize when jurisdiction-specific or licensed professional advice is required.

The Varza mental model

A financial concept becomes decision-ready when six questions have answers: **what, who, when, how much, based on what evidence**, and **under which assumption or rule**. A seventh question—**what should happen next**—turns knowledge into management.

This chapter uses internationally recognized terminology, but it remains jurisdiction-neutral unless explicitly stated. Accounting standards, tax rules, contract law, consumer protection, labor requirements, and investment regulation can produce different legal outcomes in different countries. The global foundation gives the learner a stable map; local collections must add the binding rules.

Core concepts

1. Contract

Working definition. Contract is an enforceable agreement that creates rights and obligations between parties, subject to applicable law.

Operational and accounting systems should preserve the signed terms, amendments, performance evidence, consideration, dates, and responsible parties. The professional term matters because it prevents two different realities from being recorded as though they were the same.

Simple example. A service contract defines scope, milestones, acceptance, price, payment terms, liability, and termination.

Decision test. Which document or observation would allow another person to verify it? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

2. License and permit

Working definition. License and permit is an authorization from a competent authority allowing a person or entity to conduct a regulated activity or use a regulated asset.

Validity, scope, renewal, responsible professional, location, and conditions should be tracked as operational constraints. A non-specialist can understand this by separating the object, the timing, and the consequence.

Simple example. A clinic may require facility permits and professional licenses before providing particular services.

Decision test. How would a different assumption change the result? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

3. Tax obligation

Working definition. Tax obligation is a duty to register, calculate, withhold, collect, report, or pay an amount under the law of a jurisdiction.

Tax treatment cannot be inferred from the accounting label alone. Transaction, party, place, status, timing, and documentation can all matter. The concept should be measured at the smallest level that still supports a real action.

Simple example. A seller may collect value-added tax from a customer and later remit the net amount under local rules.

Decision test. Who can influence the result, and who only reports it? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

4. Record retention

Working definition. Record retention is the controlled preservation of documents and data for operational, legal, tax, audit, contractual, and historical purposes.

Retention rules should specify content, format, integrity, location, access, duration, legal hold, and secure disposal. The number alone is not enough; its definition determines whether comparisons are valid.

Simple example. Invoices and supporting delivery evidence are retained according to the longest applicable requirement.

Decision test. Does the item affect cash now, later, or not at all? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

5. Compliance

Working definition. Compliance is conformity with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, standards, licenses, policies, and ethical requirements.

Compliance is a living system of obligations, owners, evidence, monitoring, and response—not a one-time checklist. This is where operational activity and financial reporting meet.

Simple example. A payroll process verifies labor, tax, privacy, payment, and record requirements before release.

Decision test. What is being measured, and what has been left outside the boundary? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

6. Legal entity

Working definition. Legal entity is an organization recognized by law as capable of holding rights and obligations in its own name.

Transactions, taxes, contracts, ownership, cash, and financial statements must respect entity boundaries even when operations share systems or owners. In Varza language, the concept becomes useful when it changes a decision.

Simple example. Two companies in a group require separate ledgers and controlled intercompany records.

Decision test. When did the underlying right, obligation, benefit, or sacrifice arise? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

7. Policy

Working definition. Policy is a formally approved principle or rule that guides recurring decisions within defined boundaries.

A policy should explain purpose, scope, owner, exceptions, evidence, and consequences. It should be translated into workflow and validation, not remain an isolated document. The professional term matters because it prevents two different realities from being recorded as though they were the same.

Simple example. A customer-credit policy sets information requirements, limits, review frequency, and escalation rules.

Decision test. Which document or observation would allow another person to verify it? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

8. Accountability

Working definition. Accountability is the obligation to explain and accept responsibility for decisions, actions, controls, and results.

Responsibility without information is unfair; authority without accountability is dangerous. Varza should connect each material state change to an identifiable actor. A non-specialist can understand this by separating the object, the timing, and the consequence.

Simple example. The project manager owns the latest cost forecast and must explain material changes.

Decision test. How would a different assumption change the result? A reliable answer should state the unit, date or period, scope, evidence, and uncertainty. When one of these is missing, the concept may still be useful for discussion, but it is not yet decision-ready information.

How the concepts connect

The concepts in this chapter are a system, not a vocabulary list. Contract, License and permit, Tax obligation, Record retention, Compliance, Legal entity, Policy, and Accountability describe different parts of the same decision. Confusing them usually creates one of three failures: the wrong amount is measured, the right amount is assigned to the wrong period or person, or a correct report is used for a decision it was never designed to support.

A useful analysis therefore preserves both **classification** and **relationship**. Classification tells us what an item is. Relationship tells us what caused it, what it affects, and what could change it. Varza should store both. A report that shows only totals may be suitable for orientation; a controlled decision requires traceability to the underlying relationships.

Quantitative model

This chapter does not depend on a single universal formula. Use a structured model instead: define the unit of analysis, identify relevant inflows and outflows, place them on a timeline, state assumptions, and test at least one adverse scenario. A formula becomes trustworthy only after its inputs and boundaries are trustworthy.

Worked case — A simple online business crosses several rule systems

A company based in one country sells subscriptions to consumers and businesses in three other countries and uses independent contractors.

Item	Amount or observation
Commercial	online terms, refund and renewal promises
Tax	income tax plus possible VAT/GST or sales-tax obligations
Privacy	customer and contractor personal data
Labor	worker - classification risk
Financial records	invoices, payment evidence, foreign currency, retention

Calculation and reasoning

1. Map each entity, customer type, place of supply, worker location, and payment flow.
2. Identify official primary sources and engage local specialists where registration, consumer, tax, or labor consequences are material.
3. Create an obligation register rather than storing advice in disconnected emails.
4. Configure product tax, invoice, contract, and retention rules with effective dates and test transactions before launch.

What the result means

The point is not that every cross-border sale creates every obligation. The point is that the answer depends on facts and jurisdiction, so compliance must be treated as a governed information system.

The case is deliberately compact. In practice, Varza would preserve the supporting documents, currency, dates, counterparty, project or cost center, approvals, and links to the resulting records. The lesson is not merely to reach a number; it is to make the path to that number reviewable.

Practical workflow

1. **Define the decision, responsible person, economic unit, period, and acceptable uncertainty.**
Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step

to erase the record of the previous one.

2. **Identify jurisdictions, legal entities, activities, locations, customers, workers, and regulated products.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
3. **Build an obligation register with source, effective date, owner, due date, evidence, and consequence.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
4. **Translate obligations into workflow, controls, records, and system configuration.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
5. **Monitor official changes and obtain qualified advice for interpretation or high-consequence action.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
6. **Reconcile the result to source evidence and investigate exceptions rather than forcing agreement.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
7. **Approve the decision or record at the authority level required by value, risk, and legal consequence.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.
8. **Monitor the outcome, compare it with the original assumption, and retain the learning for the next cycle.** Define the owner, required evidence, status, date, and exception route. Do not allow the next step to erase the record of the previous one.

How this concept should appear in Varza

The minimum Varza data model for this chapter includes obligation, jurisdiction, authority, contract, license, tax_registration, filing, retained_record, compliance_calendar, professional_adviser. These names are not merely interface labels. Each entity should have a stable identifier, status history, responsible owner, applicable currency or unit, effective dates, evidence links, and access controls.

A useful Varza screen should answer four questions without forcing the user to reconstruct the story manually:

1. **What happened or is expected to happen?** Show the operational event, obligation, or decision in plain language.
2. **What changed financially?** Show cash, asset, liability, equity, income, expense, commitment, or risk effects.
3. **Why should the user trust it?** Show source documents, calculations, approvals, and revision history.

4. What requires attention now? Show exceptions, due dates, thresholds, and the next responsible action.

The Varza design principles most relevant here are:

- Keep value, cash, profit, and ownership distinct until their relationship is proven.
- Attach every important number to a source, period, currency, entity, and responsible person.
- Prefer a traceable estimate with stated assumptions to an impressive number with hidden assumptions.

Varza AI may explain, classify, compare, simulate, and prepare a proposed action. It should not silently change a legal record, post a material transaction, close a period, release a payment, or make an investment decision on the user's behalf. Material actions require visible evidence, permissions, validation rules, and an accountable human approval path.

Controls and professional boundaries

1. **No compliance claim without source and effective date.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
2. **Renewal and filing calendar with independent escalation.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
3. **Legal hold and secure retention process for relevant records.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
4. **Stable definitions, versioned assumptions, and consistent units across plans, records, and reports.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
5. **Traceability from every material total to evidence, calculation, owner, and change history.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.
6. **Access and approval rights aligned with responsibility and the possible consequence of error or misuse.** The control should be proportionate to value and risk, documented clearly, and tested often enough to detect failure before the consequence becomes irreversible.

A control is effective only when it operates in practice. A policy that everyone can bypass is a statement of hope, not a control. Likewise, a control that blocks ordinary work without distinguishing low-risk and high-risk cases encourages hidden workarounds.

Common mistakes and better responses

Mistake 1: Copying requirements from another country or industry

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 2: Assuming a contract clause overrides mandatory law

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 3: Using an AI summary as the final source for a filing or legal decision

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 4: Retaining every record forever without privacy, security, or disposal rules

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 5: Using a technically correct number outside the period, entity, currency, or decision boundary for which it was calculated

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Mistake 6: Accepting an automated result without reviewing the evidence, assumptions, exceptions, and required professional judgment

Better response. Reconstruct the underlying event, state the definition being used, obtain the missing evidence, and recalculate before making a decision. Correct the process as well as the current number so the same error does not become routine.

Decision checklist

- ☐ Can I explain contract in plain language and in professional terms?
- ☐ Can I explain license and permit in plain language and in professional terms?
- ☐ Can I explain tax obligation in plain language and in professional terms?

- ☐ Can I explain record retention in plain language and in professional terms?
- ☐ Have I stated the entity, currency or unit, date or period, and decision boundary?
- ☐ Can another person reproduce the number from retained evidence?
- ☐ Have I separated actuals, estimates, commitments, and scenarios?
- ☐ Have I tested timing, liquidity, risk, and at least one adverse outcome?
- ☐ Is the required approval proportional to the possible loss or legal consequence?
- ☐ Does the final output lead to a specific action, owner, and review date?

Practice lab

1. **Explain it.** Describe Contract to a person who has never studied finance. Then add the professional definition and identify one boundary your simple explanation omitted.
2. **Classify it.** Create five examples from your household or a small business and classify each using License and permit. State any assumptions.
3. **Calculate it.** Rebuild the worked case with amounts 20% higher. Identify which conclusions change and which remain structurally true.
4. **Challenge it.** Describe a situation in which Tax obligation could be measured correctly but still lead to a poor decision because timing, risk, or incentives were ignored.
5. **Design it.** Sketch a Varza screen or report for this chapter. Include fields, evidence links, status, owner, exceptions, and one AI-assisted action.

Answer guidance

A strong answer uses consistent definitions, reveals assumptions, distinguishes fact from estimate, and connects the result to an action. More than one conclusion may be reasonable when the learner clearly states the decision objective and uncertainty. The worked-case recalculation should preserve the method even when the numbers change.

Varza AI knowledge capsule

This capsule is intentionally explicit so that a retrieval system can distinguish canonical teaching points from examples and commentary.

```
{
  "chapter_id": "VARZA-F100-019",
  "canonical_topics": [
    "Contract",
    "License and permit",
    "Tax obligation",
    "Record retention",
    "Compliance",
    "Legal entity",
    "Policy",
    "Accountability"
  ]
}
```

```

],
"facts": [
  "Contract: an enforceable agreement that creates rights and obligations between parties, subject to applicable law.",
  "License and permit: an authorization from a competent authority allowing a person or entity to conduct a regulated activity or use a regulated asset.",
  "Tax obligation: a duty to register, calculate, withhold, collect, report, or pay an amount under the law of a jurisdiction.",
  "Record retention: the controlled preservation of documents and data for operational, legal, tax, audit, contractual, and historical purposes.",
  "Compliance: conformity with applicable laws, regulations, contracts, standards, licenses, policies, and ethical requirements.",
  "Legal entity: an organization recognized by law as capable of holding rights and obligations in its own name.",
  "Policy: a formally approved principle or rule that guides recurring decisions within defined boundaries.",
  "Accountability: the obligation to explain and accept responsibility for decisions, actions, controls, and results."
],
"formula_names": [],
"jurisdiction_scope": "global-foundation",
"required_answer_qualifiers": [
  "entity",
  "date_or_period",
  "currency_or_unit",
  "source_or_assumption",
  "jurisdiction_when_relevant"
],
"human_approval_required_for": [
  "posting_material_transactions",
  "closing_periods",
  "changing_master_data",
  "releasing_payments",
  "submitting_filings",
  "individual_investment_execution"
],
"source_ids": [
  "S003",
  "S008",
  "S009",
  "S023"
],
"edition": "2026.1",
"review_date": "2026-08-31"
}

```

Suggested questions for Varza AI

- Explain this chapter using an example from a household, a shop, and a service business.
- Ask me five diagnostic questions before applying this concept to my situation.
- Show the difference between cash effect, accounting effect, and decision effect.
- Recalculate the worked case using my currency and assumptions, but preserve the original definitions.
- Identify which parts require local accounting, tax, legal, labor, or investment rules.

Sources and standards horizon

This chapter is original Varza educational content. It paraphrases and synthesizes concepts; it does not reproduce a standard or third-party article. The following sources were used to establish terminology, scope, and current professional context:

- **S003 — OECD-INFE, *Core Competencies Framework on Financial Literacy for MSMEs*.** 2018; accessed 2026-08-31. Financial capability for entrepreneurs and small-business managers. Source: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-infe-core-competencies-framework-on-financial-literacy-for-msmes_220101c9-en.html
- **S008 — U.S. Small Business Administration, *Launch your business*.** accessed 2026-08-31. Entity choice, registration, permits, banking and insurance. Source: <https://www.sba.gov/counseling/launch-your-business/>
- **S009 — U.S. Small Business Administration, *Manage your business*.** accessed 2026-08-31. Ongoing compliance and financial management. Source: <https://www.sba.gov/counseling/manage-your-business/>
- **S023 — OECD, *International VAT/GST Guidelines*.** 2017; accessed 2026-08-31. VAT/GST neutrality, destination principle and cross-border guidance. Source: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/international-vat-gst-guidelines_9789264271401-en.html

Standards horizon. Reviewed through 2026-08-31. IFRS 18 and the third edition of the IFRS for SMEs Accounting Standard are included as transition knowledge where relevant; both have mandatory effective dates beginning in 2027, with early application permitted under their respective requirements.

Jurisdiction note. Global educational foundation; local law, tax, reporting, consumer-protection, licensing, and professional requirements must be checked separately.

Professional-use note. This learning material does not replace advice from a qualified accountant, lawyer, tax adviser, licensed investment professional, or other regulated specialist who has reviewed the user's facts and jurisdiction.